Ft-H. Storage Systems: Engineering analysis of unconventional storage methods, including centralized versus distributed systems, is needed to define storage requirements. Key elements requiring better understanding include in storage biomass losses, infrastructure for packaged (i.e., bale, silage wrap, etc.) and bulk stored biomass, storage bulk density, and post-harvest physiology of storage systems. These storage elements need to be understood as a function of feedstock source, biomass moisture, climate, storage time, and cost. Stored biomass that is or becomes wet is susceptible to spoilage, rotting, spontaneous combustion, and odor problems, therefore, the impact of these post-harvest physiological processes must be controlled to the benefit of biorefining processes.

Ft-J. Biomass Material Properties: Data on biomass quality and physical property characteristics for optimum conversion are limited. Information on functional moisture relations on quality and physical properties of biomass as affected by crop variability and climatic conditions during harvest and post-harvest operations is incomplete. Methods and instruments for measuring physical and biomechanical properties of biomass are lacking.

Ft-K. Biomass Physical State Alteration (i.e., grinding, densification, and blending): The initial sizing and grinding of biomass affects efficiencies and quality of all the downstream operations, yet little information exists on these operations with respect to the multiplicity of cellulosic biomass resources and biomass format requirements for biorefining. New technologies and equipment are required to process biomass between the field and conversion facilities. The harvest season for most crop-based cellulosic biomass is short, especially in northern climates, thus requiring preprocessing systems that facilitate stable biomass storage, densification, and blending for year-round feedstock delivery to the biorefinery.

Ft-L. Biomass Material Handling and Transportation: The capital and operating costs for the existing package-based (i.e., bales, modules, pellets, etc.) equipment and facilities are not cost effective. The low density and fibrous nature of cellulosic biomass make it difficult and costly to collect, handle and transport. Present methodologies for collecting, storage handling, transport, and in-biorefinery handling of the biomass are too costly and inefficient for handling million ton quantities of biomass in a manner compliant with the efficiency and permitting requirements of cellulosic biorefineries.

Ft-M. Overall Integration: Existing biomass collection, handling, and transport systems are not designed for the large-scale needs of integrated biorefineries. Feedstock logistics infrastructure has not been defined for various locations, climates, feedstocks, storage methods, etc. The lack of experience with integrating time-sensitive collection, storage, transportation and delivery operations to ensure year-round supply of large amounts of biorefinery feedstock is a barrier to widespread implementation of biorefinery technology. The lack of data on variability of biomass resources and how this variability affects shelf life and processing yields are further barriers. In addition, it may be possible to better integrate one or more aspect of the feedstock supply system either alone or in combination with biorefinery operations. The lack of a quantitative analysis that assesses the benefits and drawbacks of these potential integration options is a potential barrier to cost savings and biorefinery efficiency improvement.

Biochemical Conversion

Bt-A. Biomass Fractionation: Fractionation can be used to increase the value of the individual components in biomass prior to their subsequent conversion to products. Currently, the interactions between chemical, biological, solvation (ability to go into solution), and mechanical processes to ultimately allow biomass to be more efficiently fractionated at high yield into high-purity components is insufficiently understood to implement commercially.

Bt-B. Biomass Variability: The characteristics of biomass can vary widely in terms of physical and chemical composition, size, shape, moisture content, and bulk density. These variations can make it difficult (or costly) to supply biorefineries with feedstocks of consistent, acceptable quality year-round, and also feedstock variability affects overall conversion rate and product yield of biomass conversion processes.

Bt-C. Biomass Recalcitrance: Lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks are naturally resistant to chemical and/or biological degradation. The fundamental role of biomass structure and composition and the critical physical and chemical properties that determine the susceptibility of cellulosic substrates to hydrolysis are not well understood. This lack of understanding of the root causes of the recalcitrance of biomass limits the ability to focus efforts to improve the cost-effectiveness and efficiency of pretreatment and other fractionation processes.

Bt-D. Pretreatment Chemistry: Thermochemical prehydrolysis of biomass, typically referred to as pretreatment, is required to break down the structure of biomass and increase its susceptibility to subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis by cellulase enzymes. The critical physical and chemical properties that determine the susceptibility of cellulosic substrates to hydrolysis and the role that lignin and other pretreatment products play in impeding access to cellulose are not well enough understood. Continued significant cost reductions in pretreatment technologies via improved sugar yields and quality require developing a better understanding of pretreatment process chemistries, including the kinetics of hemicellulose and cellulose hydrolysis.

Bt-E. Pretreatment Costs: Pretreatment reactors typically require expensive materials of construction to resist acid or alkali attack at elevated temperatures. In addition, the impact of reaction configuration and reactor design on thermochemical cellulose prehydrolysis is not well understood. Developing lower-cost pretreatments depends on the ability to process the biomass in reactors designed for maximum solid levels and fabricated out of cost-effective materials.

Bt-F. Cellulase Enzyme Production Cost: Cellulase enzymes remain a significant portion of the projected production cost of sugars from cellulosic biomass. Cost-effective enzyme production technologies are not currently available, although significant progress has been made through concerted efforts with industrial enzyme producers.

Bt-G. Cellulase Enzyme Loading: Reducing the cost of enzymatic hydrolysis depends on identifying more efficient enzyme preparations and enzyme hydrolysis regimes that permit more cost-effective and lower ratios of enzyme to substrate to be used.

Bt-H. Enzyme Biochemistry: Currently available enzymes do not exhibit the high thermostability and substantial resistance to sugar end-product inhibition. Developing enzymes that enable low-cost enzymatic hydrolysis technology requires more understanding of the fundamental mechanisms underlying the biochemistry of enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis, including the impact of biomass structure on enzymatic cellulose decrystallization. Additional efforts aimed at understanding the role of cellulases and their interaction not only with cellulose but also the process environment is needed to affect further reductions in cellulase cost.

Bt-I. Cleanup/Separation: Sugar solutions resulting from thermochemical pretreatment are impure, containing a mixture of sugars and a variety of non-sugar components. Potential impurities include acetic acid liberated upon hydrolysis of hemicellulose, lignin-derived phenolics solubilized during pretreatment, inorganic acids or alkalis or other compounds introduced during pretreatment, various salts, and hexose and pentose sugar degradation or transglycosylation products. The presence of some of the non-sugar components can be inhibitory to microbial fermentation or biocatalysis or can poison chemical catalysts. Low-cost purification technologies need to be developed that can remove impurities from hydrolysates and provide concentrated, clean sugar feedstocks to manufacture biofuels and biobased products.

Bt-J. Fuels Organism Development: Fermentation organisms used today have not been optimized for production of liquid fuels (ethanol, butanol and other alcohols) from the sugar mixture in the hydrolyzate broth produced during biomass pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis. For example, current organisms are not capable of utilizing the five-carbon sugar components, xylose and arabinose, in the biomass hydrolyzate as efficiently as glucose. In addition, impurities generated during pretreatment inhibit the organism, resulting in slow fermentations and incomplete utilization of sugars; this can lead to the need for costly purification. Improvements in fermentative organisms to perform in hydrolysate broths can significantly lower capital costs.

Bt-K. Biological Process Integration: Process integration remains a key technical barrier hindering development and deployment of biochemical conversion technologies. Biochemical conversion technologies currently present large scale-up risks because of lack of high-quality performance data on integrated processes carried out at the high solids conditions required for industrial operations. The effect of feed and process variations throughout the process must be understood to ensure robust, efficient biorefineries. Process integration work is essential for characterizing the complex interactions that exist between many of the processing steps, identifying unrecognized separation requirements, addressing bottlenecks and knowledge gaps, and generating the integrated performance data necessary to develop predictive mathematical models that can guide process optimization and scale-up.

Bt-L. Biochemical/Thermochemical Processing Integration: Integration of the entire biorefinery is the final conversion barrier and overcoming it will require successful integration at the interfaces between the biochemical and thermochemical processes. For example, the lignin residue can be used as a feedstock for syngas or bio-oil production and for subsequent conversion to combined heat and power, fuels, or chemicals. Without planned and managed integration, the complete picture of biomass conversion to fuels and chemicals will not be clear enough to attract potential developers because the risks of commercialization will be too high for financiers. As conversion technologies mature, higher levels of integration will be feasible and second generation biorefineries are envisioned to be closely coupled biochemical / thermochemical facilities enabling the most efficient use of a wide range of feedstocks.

Thermochemical Conversion

Tt-A. Feeding Dry Biomass: In the near term, there are no significant barriers to feeding and handling dry wood or agricultural residues in atmospheric systems provided they are of a relatively uniform particle size. In the longer term, there is a need for improvements in the processing and feeding of dry biomass including densification and removal of problematic chemical contaminants (e.g. alkali species). Demonstrating reliable feeding of dry biomass into pressurized systems is also needed.

Tt-B. Feeding or Drying Wet Biorefinery Streams: There is a need to understand the costs and trade-off of drying or feeding wet biorefinery residues such as wet lignin-rich fermentation residues. Innovative dryer designs capable of utilizing low-value process heat will be important to the integrated biorefinery.

Tt-C. Gasification of Wood, Biorefinery Residue Streams and Low Sugar Content Biomass: There is a need to understand the fuel chemistry and physical handling properties of other biomass feedstocks, minor byproducts and co-products, and biorefinery residual solids. This includes developing an understanding of gasification options and their chemistries for materials including wood, spent pulping liquors, agricultural residues that are high in minerals, high-lignin feedstocks and residues, and high-moisture organic residues.

Tt-E. Pyrolysis of Biomass: Development of new methods to control the pyrolytic pathways to bio-oil intermediates in order to increase product yield and recovery is needed. These product quality improvements are important to achieving the stability specifications of the resulting bio-oil and may also result in more favorable chemistry for processing in conventional petroleum refineries. New methods to clean and stabilize the bio-oil intermediate are also needed to ensure the product is compatible with refining technology. These advances include improved hydrotreating catalysts and techniques for processing the bio-oil.

Tt.-F. Syngas Cleanup and Conditioning: There is a near-term need for gas cleaning and conditioning technology that can cost-effectively remove contaminants such as tar, particulates, alkali, and sulfur. The interactions between the catalysts used for gas cleanup and conditioning, and the gasification conditions and feedstock are not well understood. These interactions require careful attention to trace contaminants.

Tt-G. Fuels Catalyst Development: Gasification Route – The production of mixed alcohols from syngas has been known since the beginning of the last century; however, the commercial success of mixed alcohol synthesis has been limited by poor selectivity and low product yields. Improved catalysts with increased productivity and selectivity to higher alcohols are required to enable viable capital and operating costs.

Pyrolysis Route – The pyrolysis of biomass has been studied for some time, however, additional improvements in pyrolytic processing with or without catalysts to yield higher quality bio-oil will help reduce upgrading costs and allow for greater commercial viability. Furthermore, the development of robust catalysts for the upgrading of pyrolysis oil in production of liquid transportation fuels is also important to the economic viability of the process. The catalysts must afford high selectivity to the desired end product, be robust with respect to the pyrolysis oil impurities, and have high conversion rates and long lifetimes. Improvement to the robustness of hydrocracking catalysts for producing hydrocarbon biofuels via pyrolysis is also needed.

Tt-H. Validation of Syngas Quality: Syngas quality specifications for production of liquid fuel products like methanol/dimethyl ether (MeOH/DME), mixed alcohols and hydrocarbon liquids are reasonably well known. However, validation that syngas from biomass can meet the rigorous quality specification needed for the production of liquid fuels via catalytic synthesis is still needed.
Tt-I. Sensors and Controls: Effective process control will be needed to maintain plant performance and regulate emissions at target levels with varying load, fuel properties, and atmospheric conditions. Commercial control systems need to be developed for thermochemical processes and systems.

Integrated Biorefineries

Im-A. Inadequate Supply Chain Infrastructure: The uncertainty of a sustainable supply chain and the associated risk are major barriers to procuring capital for start-up biorefineries. The lack of operating biorefineries to create the demand for biomass exacerbates the problem. Once demand is established, the infrastructure will grow. Producing and delivering bioenergy products in large volumes will require dramatic capital investments throughout the supply chain—from feedstock production and transport through conversion processing and product delivery.
Im-B. Agricultural Sector-Wide Paradigm Shift: Energy production from biomass on a large scale will require careful evaluation of U.S. agricultural resources and logistics, as these will likely require a series of major system changes that will take time to implement. Current harvesting, storage, and transportation systems are inadequate for processing and distributing biomass on the scale needed to support dramatically larger volumes of biofuels production.
Im-C. Lack of understanding of environmental/ energy tradeoffs: A systematic evaluation of the impact on the environment and food supply for humans and animals of expanded biofuels production and use is lacking. Analytical tools to facilitate consistent evaluation of energy benefits and greenhouse gas emission impacts of all potential biofuels feedstock and production processes is needed.

Im-D. High Risk of Large Capital Investments: Once emerging biomass technologies have been developed and tested, they must be commercially deployed. Financial barriers are the most challenging aspect of technology deployment. Capital costs for commercially viable facilities are relatively high, and securing capital for unproven technology can be extremely difficult. For private investors to confidently finance biomass technology, the technology must be fully demonstrated as technically and commercially competent. Government assistance at the demonstration stage to accelerate proof of performance is critical to successful deployment.

Im-E. Lack of Industry Standards and Regulations: The lack of local, state, and federal regulations and inconsistency among existing regulations constrain development of biomass industry. The long lead times associated with developing and understanding new and revised regulations for technology can delay or stifle commercialization and deployment. Consistent standards are lacking for feedstock supply and infrastructure, as well as for biofuels and the associated distribution infrastructure.

Im-F. Cost of Production: An overarching market barrier for biomass technologies is the inability to compete, in most applications, with fossil energy supplies and their established supporting facilities and infrastructure. Uncertainties in fossil energy price and supply continue to exert upward pressure on the price of petroleum-derived fuels and products. Nevertheless, reductions in production costs along the biomass supply chain are needed to make bio-based fuels and products competitive in these markets.

It-A. End-to-End Process Integration: Successful advances in biochemical processes and the biorefinery concept are co-dependent. This biorefinery concept encompasses a wide range of technical issues related to collecting, storing, transporting, and processing diverse feedstocks, as well as the complexity of integrating several innovative process steps, thus entailing considerable technical risk. The challenge of feed-to-product process integration is crucial, as it impacts both performance and profitability.

It-B. Commercial-Scale Demonstration Facilities: As with all new process technologies, demonstrating sustained integrated performance that meets technical, environmental and safety requirements at sufficiently large scale is an essential step toward commercialization. Demonstration facilities that are capable of testing and validating new technologies and integrated systems are critical to successful commercial deployment. Additionally, increased understanding of these combined systems will result in the optimization of process configurations. Integrating new bioenergy processes with existing biorefineries, while improving the efficiency of all biorefineries, are two critical areas of focus for the platform.

It-C. Risk of Pioneer Technology: The first biorefineries will incorporate a variety of new technologies. The number of new process steps implemented in a demonstration project has been shown to be a strong predictor of future performance shortfalls. Heat and mass balances, and their implications, are not likely to be well understood in regard to new technologies. In addition, the impact of unanticipated buildup of impurities in process streams that can result in abrasion and corrosion of plant equipment and deactivation of process catalysts is not well understood.

It-D. Sensors and Controls: Effective process control will be needed to maintain plant performance and emissions at target levels because of variability in processing conditions, load, feedstock and intermediate stream properties. Development of new sensors and analytical instruments is needed to optimize control systems for biochemical and thermochemical systems. There are several key technical barriers to consider, including the lack of real-time sensors for measuring feedstock moisture and composition, the need for better tools to analyze various process streams, and the lack of process control systems for reactor systems and subsystems.

It-E. Engineering Modeling Tools: The current level of understanding regarding fuels chemistry is insufficient for optimization, scale-up, and commercialization. In order to better understand how fuel chemistry affects commercial viability, rigorous engineering computational fluid dynamic models are needed. Engineering modeling tools are also needed to address heat integration issues.

Biofuels Distribution and End Use

Dm-A. Lack of Biofuels Distribution Infrastructure: The lack of infrastructure to transport, store and dispense biofuels puts biofuels at a disadvantage compared to conventional fuels that already have mature infrastructure. Today's biofuels distribution infrastructure, which includes about 1,000 E85 fueling stations, is concentrated in the Midwest, near the feedstocks (corn and soybeans) and ethanol and biodiesel production facilities. To contribute significantly to the 20 in 10 volumetric goal, expansion beyond this region of the country will be required.

Dm-B. Availability of Biofuels-Compatible Vehicles: About six million ethanol FFVs have been manufactured for the U.S. market, at a price competitive with conventional vehicles. However, at this time, only a limited number of vehicle model/fuel type combinations exist. In addition, most FFVs on the road today use less than 4 gallons of E85 per year due to the limited number of E85 pumps across the U.S.

Dm-C. Industry and Consumer Acceptance and Awareness: To be successful in the marketplace, biomass-derived products must perform at the same level or better than the existing fossil-energy-based products. Industry partners and consumers must believe in the quality, value and safety of biomass-derived products and their benefits.

Dt-A. Ethanol Pipeline Distribution Issues: Ethanol is a stronger solvent than the petroleum products moved via pipeline today. Consequently, ethanol will remove water, rust, gums and other contaminants from the existing petroleum pipeline distribution system. This downgrades the value of the delivered ethanol and adds back-end costs to restore the fuel to meet specifications. Construction of new dedicated ethanol pipelines are limited by the high cost of capital investment, insufficient ethanol supplies, materials compatibility issues, technologies that can measure quality in real time, and existing right-of-way agreements.

Dt-B. Limited Information Available for Developing Codes and Standards: National organizations that develop codes and standards recognize that additional date is required to integrate biofuels into the model codes for infrastructure construction. Thousands of local code jurisdictions in the U.S. adopt and modify these model codes for use in their jurisdictions. At this time, insufficient technical information hinders revision of various codes and standards in support of the quickly accelerating biofuels industry. Lack of codes as well as costly project permitting processes can stymie the introduction of new technologies, including infrastructure, into the marketplace.

Dt-C. Materials Compatibility Issues of Alcohol Fuels: Alcohol fuels and alcohol fuel blends require components throughout the infrastructure system (e.g., fuel storage, pipes and piping, and on-board vehicle systems) that are compatible with the higher electrical conductivity and solubility of the fuel. Higher cost materials, including stainless steel, lined fiberglass tanks, and mild steel with epoxy coatings, are often required to ensure compatibility and mitigate risk of decay or failure.

Dt-D. Increased Evaporative Hydrocarbon Emissions of Ethanol Blends: Adding ethanol to gasoline increases the fuel volatility, as measured by its Reid vapor pressure (RVP). The higher RVP results in higher evaporative hydrocarbon emissions from ethanol blends than from straight gasoline. Ethanol in gasoline also increases the permeability of plastic on-board fuel tanks, which in turn contributes to increased evaporative emissions.

Dt-E. Ethanol Blend Vehicle Fuel Economy: Since ethanol has a lower heating value than gasoline (83,000 Btu/gal for E85 vs. 113,500 Btu/gal for gasoline), E85 delivers a lower fuel economy when compared to gasoline on a gallon by gallon basis. Lower fuel economy can be counteracted by optimizing the engine design to take advantage of the higher octane rating of E85 (98 for E85 vs. 87 for gasoline).

Sustainability

St-A. Scientific Consensus on Bioenergy Sustainability. There is no scientific consensus on the meaning of sustainability and key elements surrounding how bioenergy sustainability should be measured (such as definitions, approaches, system boundaries, and time horizons).

St-B. Consistent, Defensible Message on Bioenergy Sustainability. The prevalence of misrepresentations of the impacts of bioenergy – including assumptions and modeling that lack empirical underpinnings – creates confusion about the benefits of bioenergy production and leaves the industry vulnerable to criticism.

St-C. Sustainability Data across the Supply Chain. A fundamental hurdle is the lack of data by which to evaluate sustainability along the supply chain and compare impacts of one pathway to another. The lack of adequate, accessible, temporal and spatial data for measuring sustainability criteria hinders other critical activities such as establishing baselines, determining targets for improvement, recommending best practices, and evaluating tradeoffs.

St-D. Indicators and Methodology for Evaluating Sustainability. There are few operationally practical and effective methods to develop metrics, define baselines, set targets, and conduct life-cycle assessments to determine the impacts of bioenergy relative to other energy alternatives.

St-E. Best Practices for Sustainable Bioenergy Production. Because bioenergy production is relatively new, no "best practices" are defined for each component of the bioenergy supply chain.

St-F. Systems Approach to Bioenergy Sustainability. The sustainability of the entire supply chain is not considered in current assessments of technical feasibility and economic optimization. No tools exist to allow researchers to consider the potential interactions and trade-offs among different goals (energy security, biodiversity protection, low-cost commodities) and different bioenergy scenarios.

St-G. Representation of Land Use. The inability of existing data sources to capture the actual state of the landscape, a poor understanding of the processes that drive land-use change (LUC), and the lack of knowledge about the environmental and social consequences of LUC associated with bioenergy production, have undermined efforts to assess the environmental and social effects of bioenergy.

Algae

Al-A. Algae Production

Al-B. Algal Fuel Production

1. Wet Mill Improvements Pathway	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.1	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of technologies to improve corn wet mill facilities using corn grain feedstock***
M.1.1	Demonstrate and validate economical residual starch conversion in a wet mill
M.1.1.1	Convert residual starch in fiber stream to EtOH
M.1.1.2	Evaluate new feed product
M.1.1.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.1.4	Validate new process in wet mill
M.1.2	Demonstrate and validate economical fiber conversion to C5 and/or mixed C5/C6 sugars in a wet mill (residual starch also expected to be converted during fiber processing)
M.1.2.1	Solubilize hemicellulose in fiber to C5 sugars
M.1.2.2	Hydrolyze cellulose to C6 Sugar
M.1.2.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.2.4	Evaluate new feed product
M.1.2.5	Validate new process in wet mill
M.1.3	Demonstrate and validate economical conversion of mixed sugars to ethanol in a wet mill
M.1.3.1	Convert released sugars to ethanol
M.1.3.2	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.3.3	Validate new process in wet mill
M.1.4	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from C5 or mixed C5/C6 sugars in a wet mill
M.1.4.1	Convert released C5 sugars to products
M.1.4.2	Convert C5 sugars to building block chemicals
M.1.4.3	Convert mixed sugars to products
M.1.4.4	Convert mixed sugars to building block chemicals
M.1.4.5	Convert building block chemicals to products
M.1.4.6	Demonstrate product separation and recovery specification
M.1.4.10	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.4.11	Validate new process in wet mill
M.1.5	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from C6 sugars in a wet mill
M.1.5.1	Convert C6 sugars to products
M.1.5.2	Convert C6 sugars to building block chemicals
M.1.5.3	Convert building block chemicals to products
M.1.5.4	Demonstrate product separation and recovery specification
M.1.5.5	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.5.6	Validate new process in wet mill
M.1.6	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from corn-derived oils in a wet mill
M.1.6.1	Convert corn derived oils to products
M.1.6.2	Demonstrate product separation and recovery specification
M.1.6.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.1.6.4	Validate new process in wet mill
2. Dry Mill Improvements Pathway	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.2	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of technologies to improve corn dry mill facilities using corn (or other) grain feedstock***

M.2.1	Demonstrate and validate economical residual starch conversion in a dry mill
M.2.1.1	Conversion of residual starch to glucose
M.2.1.2	Evaluate new feed product
M.2.1.3	Conversion of converted glucose to ethanol
M.2.1.4	Validate integrated process in a dry mill
M.2.2	Demonstrate and validate economical fiber conversion in a dry mill (residual starch also expected to be converted during fiber processing)
M.2.2.1	Convert fiber to monomer sugars
M.2.2.2	Evaluate new feed product
M.2.2.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.2.4	Validate new process in dry mill
M.2.3	Demonstrate and validate economical conversion of mixed sugars to ethanol in a dry mill
M.2.3.2	Convert released sugars to ethanol
M.2.3.4	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.3.5	Validate new process in dry mill
MI.1	Demonstrate and validate economical corn fiber-to-ethanol in a dry mill.
M.2.4	Demonstrate and validate economical conversion of mixed sugars to products in a dry mill
M.2.4.1	Conversion targets from C6 sugars to building blocks
M.2.4.2	Conversion targets from building blocks to products
M.2.4.3	Demonstrate product separation and recovery specification
M.2.4.4	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.4.5	Validate new process in dry mill
M.2.5	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from C6 sugars in a dry mill
M.2.5.1	Conversion targets from C6 sugars to building blocks
M.2.5.2	Conversion targets from building blocks to products
M.2.5.3	Product separation specification
M.2.5.4	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.5.5	Validate new process in dry mill
M.2.6	Demonstrate and validate economical front end fractionation processes in a dry mill
M.2.6.1	Derive additional value added products from front end fractionation
M.2.6.2	Evaluate new feed coproducts
M.2.6.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.6.4	Validate new process in dry mill
M.2.7	Investigate alternate sources for dry mill heat and power
M.2.7.1	Thermochemical processing of fiber stream to heat, power
M.2.7.2	Thermochemical processing of residues (i.e. corn stover) to heat, power
M.2.7.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.2.7.4	Validate new process in dry mill
3. Oil Mill Improvements Pathway	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.3	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of technologies to improve oil processing mill facilities***
M.3.1	Demonstrate and validate economical and sustainable new oil crop production for production of biodiesel and other renewable diesel alternatives
M.3.1.1	Demonstrate sustainable agronomic practices
M.3.1.2	Demonstrate oil crop harvesting

M.3.1.3	Demonstrate oil crop storage
M.3.1.4	Demonstrate oil crop transportation
M.3.1.5	Demonstrate quality and quantity of oil crop available
M.3.1.6	Validate integrated oil crop logistics at pilot scale
M.3.1.7	Validate integrated oil crop logistics at demonstration scale
M.3.2	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from glycerol in a natural oil processing facility
M.3.2.1	Convert glycerol to products
M.3.2.2	Recover new products
M.3.2.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.3.2.4	Validate integrated process in natural oil processing facility
M.3.3	Demonstrate and validate economical new fuels from oils in natural oil processing facility
M.3.3.1	Convert oil to fuels
M.3.3.2	Recover fuels
M.3.3.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.3.3.4	Validate integrated process in natural oil processing facility
M.3.4	Demonstrate and validate economical new products from oils in natural oil processing facility
M.3.4.1	Convert oil to products
M.3.4.2	Convert oils to building block chemicals
M.3.4.3	Convert building block chemicals to products
M.3.4.4	Recover new products
M.3.4.5	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M.3.4.6	Validate integrated process in natural oil processing facility
M.3.5	Demonstrate and validate economical cleanup of waste fats and greases for fuel production
M.3.5.1	Validate cleanup performance
M.3.5.2	Validate integrated cleanup at pilot scale
M.3.5.3	Validate integrated process in natural oil processing facility
4. Agricultural Residue Processing Pathway	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.4	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of all key technologies to utilize agricultural residue feedstocks in existing or new facilities***
M.4.1	Demonstrate and validate integrated corn stover harvesting logistics
M.4.1.1	Demonstrate sustainable corn agronomic practices that account for corn stover harvesting
M.4.1.2	Demonstrate wet and dry corn stover harvesting
M.4.1.3	Demonstrate wet and dry corn stover storage
M.4.1.4	Demonstrate wet and dry corn stover transportation
M.4.1.5	Demonstrate wet and dry quality and quantity of corn stover available
M.4.1.6	Demonstrate corn stover preprocessing benefits
M.4.1.7	Validate integrated corn stover logistics in prototype equipment
M.4.1.8	Validate integrated corn stover logistics at demonstration scale
M.4.2	Demonstrate and validate integrated wheat straw harvesting logistics
M.4.2.1	Demonstrate sustainable wheat agronomic practices that account for wheat straw harvesting
M.4.2.2	Demonstrate wet and dry wheat straw harvesting
M.4.2.3	Demonstrate wet and dry wheat straw storage
M.4.2.4	Demonstrate wet and dry wheat straw transportation

M.4.2.5	Demonstrate wet and dry quality and quantity of wheat straw available
M.4.2.6	Demonstrate wheat straw preprocessing benefits
M.4.2.7	Validate integrated wheat straw logistics in prototype equipment
M.4.2.8	Validate integrated wheat straw logistics at demonstration scale
M.4.3	Demonsrate and validate integrated rice straw harvesting logistics
M.4.3.1	Demonstrate sustainable rice agronomic practices that account for rice straw harvesting
M.4.3.2	Demonstrate wet and dry rice straw harvesting
M.4.3.3	Demonstrate wet and dry rice straw storage
M.4.3.4	Demonstrate wet and dry rice straw transportation
M.4.3.5	Demonstrate wet and dry quality and quantity of rice straw available
M.4.3.6	Demonstrate rice straw preprocessing benefits
M.4.3.7	Validate integrated rice straw logistics in prototype equipment
M.4.3.8	Validate integrated rice straw logistics at demonstration scale
M.4.4	Feedstock FlexIbility and Availability via Blending Depot or Elevator
M.4.4.1	To be determined
M.4.5	Demonstrate and validate ag residue fractionation to produce mixed, dilute biomass sugars
M.4.5.1	Validate cellulase enzyme cost
M.4.5.2	Validate pretreatment technology cost
M.4.5.3	Demonstrate ability to economically satisfy internal heat and power demands
M.4.5.4	Validate capital cost
M.4.5.5	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at pilot scale
M.4.5.6	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at demonstration scale
M.4.5.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.4.6	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from 5 biomass sugars
M.4.6.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce ethanol
M.4.6.2	Optimize ethanol separation
M.4.6.3	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at pilot scale
M.4.6.4	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at demonstration scale
M.4.7	Demonstrate and validate chemical building blocks, chemicals or materials from 5 biomass sugars
M.4.7.1	Optimize chemical building blocks production
M.4.7.2	Optimize high value chemical production
M.4.7.3	Optimize product separation
M.4.7.4	Optimize integrated production of product(s) from sugars at pilot scale
M.4.7.5	Optimize integrated production of product(s)from sugars at demonstration scale
M.4.8	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from lignin intermediates
M.4.8.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from lignin
M.4.8.2	Validate product separation
M.4.8.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.4.8.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at demonstration scale
M.4.9	Demonstrate and validate fuel products from lignin intermediates
M.4.9.1	Demonstrate direct fuel production from lignin
M.4.9.2	Validate fuel product separation
M.4.9.3	Validate integrated production of fuel(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.4.9.4	Validate integrated production of fuels(s)from lignin at demonstration scale

M.4.10	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power from lignin intermediates/residues
M.4.10.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from lignin
M.4.10.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at pilot scale
M.4.10.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at demonstration scale
M.4.11	Demonstrate and validate lignin gasification to produce syngas
M.4.11.1	Validate feeder system performance
M.4.11.2	Validate gasification performance
M.4.11.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.4.11.4	Validate capital costs
M.4.11.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.4.11.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanupat demonstration scale
M.4.12	Demonstrate and validate biomass gasification to produce syngas
M.4.12.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.4.12.2	Validate gasification performance
M.4.12.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.4.12.4	Validate capital costs
M.4.12.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.4.12.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanupat demonstration scale
M.4.12.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.4.13	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from mixed alcohols using lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.4.13.1	Demonstrate ethanol production from mixed alcohols
M.4.13.2	Validate ethanol separation
M.4.13.3	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at pilot scale
M.4.13.4	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at demonstration scale
MT.19	Validate integrated corn stover/wheat straw-to-ethanol (via gasification) pilot operation.
M.4.14	Demonstrate and validate hydrogen production from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.4.14.1	Demonstrate optimized hydrogen production from syngas
M.4.14.2	Validate hydrogen separation/recovery
M.4.14.3	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at pilot scale
M.4.14.4	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at demonstration scale
M.4.15	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power production from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.4.15.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from syngas
M.4.15.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at pilot scale
M.4.15.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at demonstration scale
M.4.16	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.4.16.1	Demonstrate non-ethanol fuel production from lignin or biomass-derived syngas
M.4.16.2	Validate non-ethanol fuel separation
M.4.16.3	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at pilot scale
M.4.16.4	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at demonstration scale
M.4.17	Demonstrate and validate product(s) from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.4.17.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production (C3-C5 alcohols) from syngas
M.4.17.2	Validate product(s) separation
M.4.17.3	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at pilot scale
M.4.17.4	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at demonstration scale

M.4.18	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from 5 biomass sugars that are econonomically viable
M.4.18.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce non-ethanol fuels
M.4.18.2	Optimize non-ethanol fuel separation
M.4.18.3	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from sugars at pilot scale
M.4.18.4	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuel from sugars at demonstration scale
M.4.19	Demonstrate and validate biomass pyrolysis to produce pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.4.19.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to pyrolysis reactor high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.4.19.2	Validate pyrolysis performance
M.4.19.3	Validate pyrolysis oil cleanup performance
M.4.19.4	Validate capital costs - ROI hurdle rate versus cost magnitude hurdle amount
M.4.19.5	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at pilot scale
M.4.19.6	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at demonstration scale
M.4.19.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.4.20	Demonstrate and validate fuels from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.4.20.1	Demonstrate fuel production from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.4.20.2	Validate fuel separation
M.4.20.3	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.4.20.4	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
M.4.21	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from pyrolysis oil intermediates
M.4.21.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from pyrolysis oil
M.4.21.2	Validate product separation
M.4.21.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.4.21.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
5. Perennial Crop Processing Pathway Milestones	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.5	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of all key technologies to utilize perennial crops in existing or new facilities***
M.5.1	Demonstrate and validate integrated switchgrass production and harvesting logistics
M.5.1.1	Demonstrate sustainable switchgrass agronomic practices
M.5.1.2	Demonstrate wet and dry switchgrass harvesting
M.5.1.3	Demonstrate wet and dry switchgrass storage
M.5.1.4	Demonstrate wet and dry switchgrass transportation
M.5.1.5	Demonstrate quality and quantity of switchgrass available
M.5.1.6	Demonstrate switchgrass prepprocessing benefits
M.5.1.7	Validate integrated switchgrass logistics in prototype equipment
M.5.1.8	Validate integrated switchgrass logistics at demonstration scale
M.5.2	Demonstrate and validate integrated woody crop harvesting logistics
M.5.2.1	Demonstrate sustainable woody crop agronomic practices
M.5.2.2	Demonstrate woody crop harvesting
M.5.2.3	Demonstrate woody crop storage
M.5.2.4	Demonstrate woody crop transportation
M.5.2.5	Demonstrate quality and quantity of woody crops available
M.5.2.6	Demonstrate woody crop preprocessing benefits
M.5.2.7	Validate integrated woody crop logistics in prototype equipment
M.5.2.8	Validate integrated woody crop logistics at demonstration scale

M.5.3	Feedstock FlexIbility and Availability via Blending Depot or Elevator
M.5.3.1	To be determined
M.5.4	Demonstrate and validate switchgrass fractionation to produce mixed biomass sugars
M.5.4.1	Validate cellulase enzyme cost
M.5.4.2	Validate pretreatment technology cost
M.5.4.3	Demonstrate ability to economically satisfy internal heat and power demands
M.5.4.4	Validate capital cost
M.5.4.5	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at pilot scale
M.5.4.6	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at demonstration scale
M.5.4.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.5.5	Demonstrate and validate woody crop fractionation to produce mixed, dilute biomass sugars
M.5.5.1	Validate cellulase enzyme cost
M.5.5.2	Validate pretreatment technology cost
M.5.5.3	Demonstrate ability to economically satisfy internal heat and power demands
M.5.5.4	Valicate capital cost
M.5.5.5	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at pilot scale
M.5.5.6	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at demonstration scale
M.5.5.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.5.6	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from 5 biomass sugars
M.5.6.1	Validate ethanol production
M.5.6.2	Validate ethanol separation/recovery
M.5.6.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from sugars at pilot scale
M.5.6.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from sugars at demonstration scale
M.5.7	Demonstrate and validate products from 5 biomass sugars
M.5.7.1	Validate chemical building blocks production
M.5.7.2	Validate high value chemical production
M.5.7.3	Validate product separation
M.5.7.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from sugars at pilot scale
M.5.7.5	Validate integrated production of product(s)from sugars at demonstration scale
M.5.8	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from lignin intermediates
M.5.8.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from lignin
M.5.8.2	Validate product separation
M.5.8.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.5.8.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at demonstration scale
M.5.9	Demonstrate and validate fuel products from lignin intermediates
M.5.9.1	Demonstrate direct fuel production from lignin
M.5.9.2	Validate fuel product separation
M.5.9.3	Validate integrated production of fuel(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.5.9.4	Validate integrated production of fuels(s)from lignin at demonstration scale
M.5.10	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power from lignin intermediates/residues
M.5.10.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from lignin
M.5.10.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at pilot scale
M.5.10.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at demonstration scale
M.5.11	Demonstrate and validate lignin gasification to produce syngas

M.5.11.1	Validate feeder system performance
M.5.11.2	Validate gasification performance
M.5.11.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.5.11.4	Validate capital costs - ROI hurdle rate versus cost magnitude hurdle amount
M.5.11.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.5.11.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at demonstration scale
M.5.12	Demonstrate and validate biomass gasification to produce syngas
M.5.12.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.5.12.2	Validate gasification performance
M.5.12.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.5.12.4	Validate capital costs
M.5.12.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.5.12.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanupat demonstration scale
M.5.12.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.5.13	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from mixed alcohols using lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.5.13.1	Demonstrate ethanol production from mixed alcohols
M.5.13.2	Validate ethanol separation
M.5.13.3	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at pilot scale
M.5.13.4	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at demonstration scale
M.5.14	Demonstrate and validate hydrogen production from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.5.14.1	Demonstrate optimized hydrogen production from syngas
M.5.14.2	Validate hydrogen separation/recovery
M.5.14.3	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at pilot scale
M.5.14.4	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at demonstration scale
M.5.15	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power production from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.5.15.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from syngas
M.5.15.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at pilot scale
M.5.15.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at demonstration scale
M.5.16	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.5.16.1	Demonstrate non-ethanol fuel production from lignin or biomass-derived syngas
M.5.16.2	Validate non-ethanol fuel separation
M.5.16.3	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at pilot scale
M.5.16.4	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at demonstration scale
M.5.17	Demonstrate and validate product(s) from lignin or biomass derived syngas
M.5.17.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production (C3-C5 alcohols) from syngas
M.5.17.2	Validate product(s) separation
M.5.17.3	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at pilot scale
M.5.17.4	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at demonstration scale
M.5.18	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from 5 biomass sugars that are econonomically viable
M.5.18.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce non-ethanol fuels
M.5.18.2	Optimize non-ethanol fuel separation
M.5.18.3	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from sugars at pilot scale
M.5.18.4	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuel from sugars at demonstration scale
M.5.19	Demonstrate and validate biomass pyrolysis to produce pyrolysis oil intermediate

M.5.19.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to pyrolysis reactor high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.5.19.2	Validate pyrolysis performance
M.5.19.3	Validate pyrolysis oil cleanup performance
M.5.19.4	Validate capital costs - ROI hurdle rate versus cost magnitude hurdle amount
M.5.19.5	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at pilot scale
M.5.19.6	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at demonstration scale
M.5.19.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.5.20	Demonstrate and validate fuels from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.5.20.1	Demonstrate fuel production from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.5.20.2	Validate fuel separation
M.5.20.3	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.5.20.4	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
M.5.21	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from pyrolysis oil intermediates
M.5.21.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from pyrolysis oil
M.5.21.2	Validate product separation
M.5.21.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.5.21.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
6. Forest Resources Processing	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.6	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of technologies to improve pulp and paper mill facilities and/or produce additional products (fuels, chemicals and /or power) from wood feedstock in a pulp and paper mill environment***
M.6.1	Demonstrate and validate integrated logging residue and forest thinnings collection and logistics
M.6.1.1	Demonstrate sustainable logging practices
M.6.1.2	Demonstrate logging residue collection
M.6.1.3	Demonstrate forest thinnings collection
M.6.1.4	Demonstrate logging residue and forest thinnings transportation
M.6.1.5	Demonstrate quality and quantity of logging residue and forest thinnings available
M.6.1.6	Demonstrate logging residue and forest thinnings preprocessing benefits
M.6.1.7	Validate integrated logging residue and forest thinnings logistics in prototype equipment
M.6.1.8	Validate integrated logging residue and forest thinnings logistics at demonstration scale
M.6.2	Demonstrate and validate integrated fuel treatment biomass collection and logistics
M.6.2.1	Demonstrate fuel treatment biomass collection
M.6.2.2	Demonstrate fuel treatment biomass storage
M.6.2.3	Demonstrate fuel treatment biomass transportation
M.6.2.4	Demonstrate fuel treatment biomass quality and quantity of available
M.6.2.5	Demonstrate fuel treatment biomass preprocessing benefits
M.6.2.6	Validate integrated fuel treatment biomass logistics in prototype equipment
M.6.2.7	Validate integrated fuel treatment biomass logistics at demonstration scale
M.6.3	Demonstrate and validate forest resources fractionation to produce mixed, dilute biomass sugars
M.6.3.1	Validate cellulase enzyme cost
M.6.3.2	Validate pretreatment technology cost
M.6.3.3	Demonstrate ability to economically satisfy internal heat and power demands
M.6.3.4	Validate capital cost
M.6.3.5	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at pilot scale

M.6.3.6	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at demonstration scale
M.6.3.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.6.4	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from 5 biomass sugars
M.6.4.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce ethanol
M.6.4.2	Optimize ethanol separation
M.6.4.3	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at pilot scale
M.6.4.4	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at demonstration scale
M.6.5	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from 5 biomass sugars
M.6.5.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce non-ethanol fuels
M.6.5.2	Optimize fuel separation
M.6.5.3	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from sugars at pilot scale
M.6.5.4	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from sugars at demonstration scale
M.6.6	Demonstrate and validate chemical building blocks, chemicals or materials from 5 biomass sugars
M.6.6.1	Optimize chemical building blocks production
M.6.6.2	Optimize high value chemical production
M.6.6.3	Optimize product separation
M.6.6.4	Optimize integrated production of product(s) from sugars at pilot scale
M.6.6.5	Optimize integrated production of product(s) from sugars at demonstration scale
M.6.7	Demonstrate and validate fuel products from lignin intermediates
M.6.7.1	Demonstrate direct fuel production from lignin
M.6.7.2	Validate fuel product separation
M.6.7.3	Validate integrated production of fuel(s) from lignin at pilot scale
M.6.7.4	Validate integrated production of fuels(s) from lignin at demonstration scale
M.6.8	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from lignin intermediates
M.6.8.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from lignin
M.6.8.2	Validate product separation
M.6.8.3	Validate integrated production of product(s) from lignin at pilot scale
M.6.8.4	Validate integrated production of product(s) from lignin at demonstration scale
M.6.9	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power from lignin intermediates/residues
M 6.9.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from lignin
M 6.9.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at pilot scale
M 6.9.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at demonstration scale
M 6.10	Demonstrate and validate lignin gasification to produce syngas
M 6.10.1	Validate feeder system performance
M 6.10.2	Validate gasification performance
M 6.10.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M 6.10.4	Validate capital cost
M 6.10.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M 6.10.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at demonstration scale
M 6.11	Demonstrate and validate cost-effective biomass gasification of wood, forest residues and other process residues and synthesis gas cleanup in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.11.1	Develop cost effective gasification designs for syngas production at appropriate scale
M 6.11.2	Validate feeder system performance to reliably feed solids to high pressure (30 bar) systems)
M 6.11.3	Validate gasification performance

M 6.11.4	Validate cost-effective gas cleanup performance
M 6.11.5	Validate integrated biomass gasification and syngas cleanup process at pilot scale
M 6.11.6	Validate integrated biomass gasification and syngas cleanup process in a forest resources mill environment
	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M 6.12	Demonstrate and validate production of ethanol from syngas in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.12.1	Produce mixed alcohols from syngas
M 6.12.2	Recover ethanol fuel product
M 6.12.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M 6.12.4	Validate new process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.13	Demonstrate and validate production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.13.1	Produce non-ethanol fuel from biomass syngas
M 6.13.2	Recover fuel product
M 6.13.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M 6.13.4	Validate new process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.14	Demonstrate and validate hydrogen production from lignin or biomass derived syngas forest resources mill environment
M 6.14.1	Demonstrate optimized hydrogen production from syngas
M 6.14.2	Validate hydrogen separation/recovery
M 6.14.3	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at pilot scale
M 6.14.4	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at demonstration scale
M 6.15	Demonstrate and validate product(s) from lignin or biomass derived syngas forest resources mill environment
M 6.15.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material productionfrom syngas
M 6.15.2	Validate product(s) separation
M 6.15.3	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at pilot scale
M 6.15.4	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at demonstration scale
M 6.16	Demonstrate and validate syngas utilization for combined heat and power in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.16.1	Verify fuel gas quality to levels necessary for CHP or clean cold gas consuming equipment
M 6.16.2	Validate CHP from syngas and/or direct use of sygas in process equipment
M 6.16.3	Validate integrated process at pilot scale
M 6.16.4	Validate new process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.17	Demonstrate and validate bio-oil production to a stable intermediate forest resources mill environment
M 6.17.1	Validate bio-oil production
M 6.17.2	Validate bio-oil inermediate recovery
M 6.17.3	Validate integrated process for producing bio-oil at pilot scale
M 6.17.4	Demonstrate and validate new process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.17.5	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M 6.18.	Achieve cost-effective conversion bio-oil intermediate into product(s) in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.18.1	Validate production of products from bio-oil
M 6.18.2	Validate bio-oil product(s) recovery
M 6.18.3	Validate integrated process for producing bio-oil product at pilot scale
M 6.18.4	Validate integrated process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.19.	Achieve cost-effective conversion bio-oil intermediate into product(s) in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.19.1	Validate production of products from bio-oil
M 6.19.2	Validate bio-oil product(s) recovery
M 6.19.3	Validate integrated process for producing bio-oil product at pilot scale

M 6.19.4	Validate integrated process in a forest resources mill environment
M 6.20	Demonstrate and validate cost-effective extraction of C5 and C6 sugars from hemicellulose upstream of the pulp digestor in a pulp mill without negatively impacting paper quality
M 6.20.1	Meet yield target for C5 and C6 sugars without negatively impacting paper quality
M 6.20.2	Meet sugar upgrading requirements
M 6.20.3	Meet targets for recovery of other intermediates
M 6.20.4	Validate integrated sugar extraction process at pilot scale
M 6.20.5	Validate sugar extraction process in pulp and paper mill
M 6.21	Demonstrate and validate reliable and economic gasification of spent pulping liquor, recycle liquor causticization, chemical recovery and gas cleanup in a pulp mill
M 6.21.1	Validate reliable and economic performance of gasification of spent pulping liquor
M 6.21.2	Validate cost effective causticization and return Na based pulping chemicals
M 6.21.3	Validate advantages of co-gasification of spent pulping liquors and other forms of biomass (woody, recycle paper streams, and bio-oil)
M 6.21.4	Validate process chemical recovery from spent pulping liquor syngas
M 6.21.5	Validategas cleanup technologies on spent pulping liquor syngas
M 6.21.6	Validate integrated black liquor gasification, causticization, chemical recovery and gas cleanup process at pilot scale
M 6.21.7	Validate integrated black liquor gasification, causticization, chemical recovery and gas cleanup process in pulp and paper mill
7. Waste Processing Pathway	
Milestone #	**Milestone Title**
M.7	***Complete systems level demonstration and validation of technologies to process waste biomass streams to produce fuels, chemicals and /or power.***
M.7.1	Demonstrate and validate fractionation of carbohydrate rich waste streams to produce mixed, dilute biomass sugars
M.7.1.1	Validate cellulase enzyme cost
M.7.1.2	Validate pretreatment technology cost
M.7.1.3	Demonstrate ability to economically satisfy internal heat and power demands
M.7.1.4	Validate capital cost
M.7.1.5	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at pilot scale
M.7.1.6	Validate integrated pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis at demonstration scale
M.7.1.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.7.2	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from 5 biomass sugars
M.7.2.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce ethanol
M.7.2.2	Optimize ethanol separation
M.7.2.3	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at pilot scale
M.7.2.4	Optimize integrated production of ethanol from sugars at demonstration scale
M.7.3	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from 5 biomass sugars
M.7.3.1	Validate fermentation of all 5 sugars to produce non-ethanol fuels
M.7.3.2	Optimize non-ethanol fuel separation
M.7.3.3	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from sugars at pilot scale
M.7.3.4	Optimize integrated production of non-ethanol fuel from sugars at demonstration scale
M.7.4	Demonstrate and validate chemical building blocks, chemicals or materials from 5 biomass sugars
M.7.4.1	Optimize chemical building blocks production
M.7.4.2	Optimize high value chemical production
M.7.4.3	Optimize product separation
M.7.4.4	Optimize integrated production of product(s)from sugars at pilot scale

M.7.4.5	Optimize integrated production of product(s)from sugars at demonstration scale
M.7.6	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from lignin intermediates
M.7.6.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from lignin
M.7.6.2	Validate product separation
M.7.6.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.7.6.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from lignin at demonstration scale
M.7.5	Demonstrate and validate fuel products from lignin intermediates
M.7.5.1	Demonstrate direct fuel production from lignin
M.7.5.2	Validate fuel product separation
M.7.5.3	Validate integrated production of fuel(s)from lignin at pilot scale
M.7.5.4	Validate integrated production of fuels(s)from lignin at demonstration scale
M.7.7	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power from lignin intermediates/residues
M.7.7.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from lignin
M.7.7.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at pilot scale
M.7.7.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from lignin at demonstration scale
M.7.8	Demonstrate and validate lignin gasification to produce syngas
M.7.8.1	Validate feeder system performance
M.7.8.2	Validate gasification performance
M.7.8.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.7.8.4	Validate capital costs
M.7.8.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.7.8.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanupat demonstration scale
M.7.9	Demonstrate and validate waste biomass gasification to produce syngas
M.7.9.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.7.9.2	Validate gasification performance
M.7.9.3	Validate gas cleanup performance
M.7.9.4	Validate capital costs
M.7.9.5	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanup at pilot scale
M.7.9.6	Validate integrated gasification and gas cleanupat demonstration scale
M.7.9.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.7.10	Demonstrate and validate ethanol from mixed alcohols using lignin or waste biomass derived syngas
M.7.10.1	Demonstrate ethanol production from mixed alcohols
M.7.10.2	Validate ethanol separation
M.7.10.3	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at pilot scale
M.7.10.4	Validate integrated production of ethanol from syngas at demonstration scale
M.7.11	Demonstrate and validate non-ethanol fuels from lignin or waste biomass derived syngas
M.7.11.1	Demonstrate non-ethanol fuel production from lignin or biomass-derived syngas
M.7.11.2	Validate non-ethanol fuel separation
M.7.11.3	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at pilot scale
M.7.11.4	Validate integrated production of non-ethanol fuels from syngas at demonstration scale
M.7.12	Demonstrate and validate hydrogen production from lignin or waste biomass derived syngas
M.7.12.1	Demonstrate optimized hydrogen production from syngas
M.7.12.2	Validate hydrogen separation/recovery
M.7.12.3	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at pilot scale

M.7.12.4	Validate integrated production of hydrogen from syngas at demonstration scale
M.7.13	Demonstrate and validate product(s) from lignin or waste biomass derived syngas
M.7.13.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from syngas
M.7.13.2	Validate product(s) separation
M.7.13.3	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at pilot scale
M.7.13.4	Validate integrated production of product(s) from syngas at demonstration scale
M.7.14	Demonstrate and validate combined heat and power production from lignin or waste biomass derived syngas
M.7.14.1	Demonstrate combined heat and power production from syngas
M.7.14.2	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at pilot scale
M.7.14.3	Validate integrated production of heat and power from syngas at demonstration scale
M.7.15	Demonstrate and validate waste biomass pyrolysis to produce pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.7.15.1	Validate feeder systems to reliably feed solid biomass to pyrolysis reactor high pressure (30 bar) systems
M.7.15.2	Validate pyrolysis performance
M.7.15.3	Validate pyrolysis oil cleanup performance
M.7.15.4	Validate capital costs
M.7.15.5	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at pilot scale
M.7.15.6	Validate integrated pyrolysis and pyrolysis oil cleanup at demonstration scale
M.7.15.7	Validate feed flexibility in integrated system
M.7.16	Demonstrate and validate fuels from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.7.16.1	Demonstrate fuel production from pyrolysis oil intermediate
M.7.16.2	Validate fuel separation
M.7.16.3	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.7.16.4	Validate integrated production of fuels from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
M.7.17	Demonstrate and validate high value chemical and material products from pyrolysis oil intermediates
M.7.17.1	Demonstrate high value chemical/material production from pyrolysis oil
M.7.17.2	Validate product separation
M.7.17.3	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at pilot scale
M.7.17.4	Validate integrated production of product(s)from pyrolysis oil at demonstration scale
8. Algae Pathway	
M.8	
M.8.1	Algal feedstock production
M.8.1.1	Development of technically viable, sustainable and cost effective algae production
M.8.2	Algal conversion technologies
M.8.2.1	Development of technically viable, sustainable and cost effective fuel production from algae

Technology Readiness Levels

Identifier	*Description*	*Example*	*Output*
TRL-1	**Basic principles observed and reported:** This is lowest level of technology readiness. Scientific research begins with a systematic study directed toward greater knowledge or understanding of the fundamental aspects of phenomena and of observable facts without specific applications or products in mind. The knowledge or understanding will later be translated into applied research and development.	An example might include studies of a technology's basic properties.	Published papers, new innovations
TRL-2	**Technology concept and/or application formulated:** Invention begins. Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. Applications are speculative and there may be no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumptions. Practical application invented. Research to improve feasibility.	Examples are still limited to analytical studies.	Published papers, patents, preliminary investigation
TRL-3	**Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept:** Active research and development is initiated. This includes analytical studies and laboratory studies to physically validate analytical predictions of separate elements of the technology.	Examples include components that are not yet integrated or representative.	Patents, prototypes of various unit operations built
TRL-4	**Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment:** Basic technological components are integrated to establish that they will work together. This is relatively "low fidelity" compared to the eventual system.	Examples include integration of "ad hoc" hardware in the laboratory.	Patents, integrated prototypes, informs engineering scale designs, possible application of Stage Gate Processing.
TRL-5	**Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment:** Fidelity of breadboard technology increases significantly. The basic technological components are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so it can be tested in a simulated environment.	Examples include "high fidelity" laboratory integration of components.	Integrated prototypes at bench scale, informs pilot plant designs, IP owned or licensed, initiation of Stage Gate Process/tracking.
TRL-6	**System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment:** Representative model or prototype system, which is well beyond that of TRL-5, is tested in a relevant environment. This represents a major step up in a technology's demonstrated readiness.	Examples include testing a prototype in a high-fidelity laboratory environment or in simulated operational environment.	Integrated prototypes at pilot scale, informs demonstration scale designs. Progress through the Stage Gate Process.
TRL-7	**System prototype demonstration in a operational environment:** This represents a major step up from TRL-6. It requires the demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment, such as in a light duty vehicle on the road.	Examples include testing at demonstration scale in simulated operational environment.	Integrated prototypes at the demonstration scale, informs commercial scale designs.
TRL-8	**Full Scale demonstration in a operational environment:** Completed and qualified through test and demonstration. The technology has been proven to work in its final form and under expected conditions. In almost all cases, this TRL represents the end of the true system development.	Examples include developmental testing and evaluation of the system with actual feedstocks through commissioning.	Integrated prototypes at the commercial scale. Operational procedures that are nearly complete.

Definitions:

BREADBOARD: Integrated components that provide a representation of a system/subsystem and that can be used to determine concept feasibility and to develop technical data. These tools are typically configured for laboratory use to demonstrate technical principles of immediate interest. These may resemble final system/subsystem in function only.

"HIGH FIDELITY": Addresses form, fit and function. High-fidelity laboratory environment would involve testing with equipment that can simulate and validate all system specifications within a laboratory setting.

"LOW FIDELITY": A representative of the component or system that has limited ability to provide anything but first order information about the end product. Low fidelity assessments are used to provide trend analysis.

MODEL: A functional form of a system generally reduced in scale, near or at operational specification. Models will be sufficiently developed to allow demonstration of the technical and operational capabilities required of the final system.

OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT: Environment that addresses all of the operational requirements and specifications required of the final system to include platform/packaging.
PROTOTYPE: The first early representation of the system that offers the expected functionality and performance expected of the final implementation. Prototypes will be sufficiently developed to allow demonstration of the technical and operational capabilities required of the final system.
RELEVANT ENVIRONMENT: Testing environment that simulates the key aspects of the operational environment.
SIMULATED OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL: Either 1) a real environment that can simulate all of the operational requirements and specifications required of the final system, or 2) a simulated environment that allows for testing of a virtual prototype; used in either case to determine whether a developmental system meets the operational requirements and specifications of the final system.



STRIPES 'ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT' (COVER PAGE) TEMPLATE LANGUAGE FOR NEW AWARDS AND AWARD MODIFICATIONS

MODIFICATIONS:

The purpose of this modification is to move tasks from Budget Period 2 into Budget Period 1. Accordingly the following changes are made:

1) Delete and replace the Terms and Conditions to incorporate the following changes:
   a. Delete and replace the "Award Project Period and Budget Periods" provision;
   b. Delete and replace the "Cost Sharing" provision;
   c. Delete and replace the "Funding of Budget Periods" provision;
   d. Delete and replace the "National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements" provision;
   e. Add the "Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation" provision; and
   f. Add the "Central Contractor Registration and Universal Identifier Requirements" provision;

2) Delete and replace the Statement of Project Objectives (Attachment 2);

3) Delete and replace the Budget Information, SF-424A (Attachment 4); and

4) Grant and No Cost Time Extension, specifically to extend the end of Budget Period 1 from 03/31/2011 to 09/30/2011.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

In Block 7 of the Assistance Agreement, the Period of Performance reflects the beginning of the Project Period through the end of the current Budget Period, shown as 01/29/2010 through 09/30/2011. For multiple Budget Periods, see Special Terms and Conditions, Provision 4, "Award Project Period and Budget Periods."

The total amounts reflected in Blocks 12 and 13 of the Assistance Agreement do not include the Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) funding amount of $424,480, which will be funded directly.

DOE Award Administrator: Molly Hames
E-mail: molly.hames@go.doe.gov
Phone: 303-275-4864

DOE Project Officer: Christy Sterner
E-mail: christy.sterner@go.doe.gov
Phone: 303-275-4720

Recipient Business Officer: Katie McFadden
E-mail: Katie.McFadden@Algenolbiofuels.com
Phone: 239-498-2000

Recipient Principal Investigator: Craig Smith
E-mail: craig.smith@algenolbiofuels.com

Phone: 239-498-2000

STATEMENT OF PROJECT OBJECTIVES
Algenol Biofuels Inc.
Recovery Act: Integrated Pilot-Scale Biorefinery for Producing Ethanol from Hybrid Algae

A. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

1. Demonstrate the commercial potential of DIRECT TO ETHANOL™ technology by building a pilot-scale DIRECT TO ETHANOL™ integrated biorefinery.

2. Operate a pilot-scale DIRECT TO ETHANOL™ integrated biorefinery that uses approximately 2 tonnes per day of carbon dioxide from industrial emissions as the feedstock for making ethanol.

3. Implement an integrated biorefinery project that will immediately create or save more than 300 well-paying high-tech jobs in Florida.

4. Assess the economic and environmental impact of a new breakthrough energy technology that could eventually create billions of dollars of economic value and thousands of new well-paying jobs while consuming large quantities of carbon dioxide from industrial emissions, displacing petroleum, and moving the U.S. toward energy independence.

5. Assemble a consortium of private industry, federal laboratories, and academic institutions that will contribute to the development, construction, operation and optimization of Algenol's pilot-scale integrated biorefinery and can contribute to future improvements in DIRECT TO ETHANOL™ technology.

B. PROJECT SCOPE

The DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) has established performance goals. Among these goals are to: 1) dramatically reduce, or even end, dependence on imported oil; and 2) spur the creation of the domestic bio-industry. To that end, the Office of the Biomass Program is offering to fund integrated biorefinery projects under this FOA entitled "Demonstration of Integrated Biorefinery Operations." The FOA lists three main criteria for selection: 1) technical merit and rationale; 2) credible economics and competitive advantages that justify an award; and 3) knowledge and experience in project management.

Algenol and its collaborators have collectively prepared a project that is responsive to the selection criteria as detailed below.

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

C. TASKS TO BE PERFORMED

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Applicant Name: Algenol Biofuels Inc. Award Number: DE-EE0002867 Phase I

Budget Information - Non Construction Programs

OMB Approval No. 0348-0044

Section A - Budget Summary

Grant Program Function or Activity (a)	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b)	Estimated Unobligated Funds		New or Revised Budget		
		Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1. DE-FOA-0000096	81.087			$15,587,035	Exemption 4	
2.						
3.						
4.						
5. Totals				$15,587,035		

Section B - Budget Categories

6. Object Class Categories	Grant Program, Function or Activity				Total (5)
	(1) DE-FOA-0000096	(2)	(3)	(4)	
a. Personnel	Exemption 4				
b. Fringe Benefits					
c. Travel					
d. Equipment					
e. Supplies					
f. Contractual					
g. Construction					
h. Other					
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)					
j. Indirect Charges					
k. **Totals** (sum of 6i-6j)					
7. Program Income	$0				$0

PMC 128.1
08-2010

U.S. Department of Energy
Project Management Center

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARD
INDEX and CHECKLIST
(BUYER USE ONLY)

Award #: DE-EE0002867 / 005
Recipient Name: Algenol Biofuels, Inc.
FOA #: DE-FOA-0000096
Specialist: Molly Hames
Contracting Officer: Jon Olsen
Project Officer: Christy Sterner

Program: The Office of the Biomass Program
Phone: 303-275-4864
Phone: 303-275-4825
Phone: 303-275-4720

n Item	*Indicate Completion of Action Item by inserting a date in the applicable box below*	
1	Verify Recipient's Registration and Delinquent Federal Debt Status with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) (http://www.ccr.gov)	CCR Registration Valid through: 06/04/2011; file copy under tab AWD-001
2	Verify Recipient's registration with FedConnect (goto P:\STRIPES\Fedconnect Reports)	Registration Confirmed on: 04/28/2011
3	Vendor Supplier/Site Information Form; send completed PMC 128.8a to 'GO ASAP Enrollment' mailbox	Sent on: N/A; file copy under tab AWD-001

STRIPES Index #	Supporting Document		Form #	applicable	not applicable	Supporting Document Naming Convention	pdf. required
AWD-001	Award Index and Checklist		PMC 128.1	X		AWD-001 AWDChecklist	
	AWD-001a	Vendor Supplier/Site Information Form	PMC 128.8a		X		
	AWD-001b	CCR Registration	n/a	X			
AWD-002	Selection Statement		n/a		X	AWD-002 SelStat	.pdf
AWD-003	Determination of Non-Competitive Financial Assistance (DNFA) Documentation (include Request for Review PMC.112.2)		PMC 109.x		X	AWD-003 DNFADocs	batch .pdf
AWD-004	HCA Approval (Total Project Value greater than $25M and less than $50M) (include Request for Review PMC.112.2)		n/a		X	AWD-004 HCAApvl	.pdf
AWD-005	HQ Business Clearance Documentation (Total Project Value greater than or equal to $50M)						
	AWD-005a	HQ Business Clearance Worksheet	PMC 107.1		X	AWD-005 HQBusClrDocs	batch .pdf
	AWD-005b	HQ Business Clearance Transmittal Letter(s)	PMC 107.2		X		
	AWD-005c	HQ Business Clearance; Correspondence received from HQ	n/a		X		
)-006	Congressional Affairs Notification		DOE F 4220.10		X	AWD-006CongNotification	.pdf
AWD-007	Successful Application Documentation (Prime)						
	AWD-007a	Application for Federal Assistance (Final SF-424 & Project Narrative)	SF-424		X	AWD-007a AppDocs (PRIME)	batch .pdf
		SF-424 (A or R&R) Budget Information	SF-424 (A or R&R)	X			
		Budget Justification	PMC 123.1	X			
		Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	SF-LLL		X		
		Cost Share Commitment Ltrs from Third Parties	n/a		X		
		Vendor Quote and Project Plan	n/a	X			
		Request to move SOPO Tasks into BP1 and extend the BP1 end date	n/a	X			
AWD-008	National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documentation						
	AWD-008a	NEPA Checklist	EF-1		X	AWD-008 NEPADocs	batch .pdf
	AWD-008b	NEPA Review	EF-2		X		
	AWD-008c	NEPA Determination	EF-2a		X		
	AWD-008d	FONSI	FONSI	X			
AWD-009	Pre-Award Information Sheet		PMC 121.1		X	AWD-009 PreAwardInfo	.pdf
AWD-010	Dun&Bradstreet Risk Assessment		PMC 460.2		X	AWD-010 D&BAssessment	.pdf

STRIPES Index #	Supporting Document		Form #	applicable	not applicable	Supporting Document Naming Convention	pdf. required
AWD-011	Combined Technical Evaluation / Negotiation Memorandum		PMC 120.2	X		**AWD-011 TechEvalNegMem**	.pdf
AWD-012	Intellectual Property Law Division (IPLD) Documentation						
	AWD-012a	IPLD Petition for Advance Waiver of Patent Rights	PMC 133.2		X	**AWD-012 IPLDDocs**	batch .pdf
	AWD-012b	IPLD Pre-Award Review Request	PMC 133.3		X		
	AWD-012c	IPLD Recommendation	e-mail		X		
AWD-013	Cost Share Determination Documentation						
	AWD-013a	Cost Share Determination	PMC 112.2		X	**AWD-013a CostShareDet**	.pdf
	AWD-013b	Cost Share Waiver	PMC 142.2		X	**AWD-013b CostShareWaiver**	.pdf
AWD-014	Cost / Price Documentation						
	AWD-014a	Financial Information	PMC 410.1		X	**AWD-014 CostPriceDocs**	batch .pdf
	AWD-014b	Indirect Rate Agreement or Rate Proposal	n/a		X		
	AWD-014c	Response from C/P Analyst regarding Indirect Rates	PMC 420.3		X		
	AWD-014d	Audit and Correspondence	n/a	X			
AWD-015	Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) Query (https://www.epls.gov)		n/a	X		**AWD-015 EPLS**	
AWD-016	Pre-Award Cost Request and Authorization		n/a		X	**AWD-016 PreAwdCostAuth**	.pdf
AWD-017	Justification for Use of Conditional Availability of Funds Provision		PMC 132.2		X	**AWD-017 JustificationCondAvail**	.pdf
AWD-018	Correspondence to Recipients (use this section for correspondence not issued at FOA level)						
	AWD-018a	Request to move SOPO Tasks into BP1 and extend the BP1 end date	n/a	X		**AWD-018a Correspondence**	.pdf
AWD-019	Internal Review(s)						
	AWD-019a	Request for Review (Review of Award Package)	PMC 112.2	X		**AWD-019a RvwAwdPkg**	.pdf
AWD-020	Deviations						
Cover Page	Assistance Agreement Form		n/a	X			
Body	Special Terms and Conditions		n/a		X		
Attachment 1	Intellectual Property Provisions		n/a		X		
Attachment 2	Statement of Project Objectives		n/a	X			
Attachment 3	Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist and Instructions		DOE F 4600.2		X		
Attachment 4	Budget Information		n/a	X			
Attachment 5	Congintency Appendix		n/a		X		

CCR Search Results

Not to be used as certifications and representations. See ORCA for official certification.

Registration Status: Active in CCR; Registration valid until 06/04/2011.
DUNS: 800399904
DUNS PLUS4:
CAGE/NCAGE: 5JLG6
Legal Business Name: ALGENOL BIOFUELS INC.
Doing Business As (DBA):
Division Name:
Division Number:
Company URL: http://www.algenolbiofuels.com/

Physical Street Address 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DR STE 200
Physical Street Address 2:
Physical City: BONITA SPRINGS
Physical State: FL
Physical Foreign Province:
Physical Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Physical Country: USA

Mailing Name: ALGENOL BIOFUELS INC.
Mailing Street Address 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DR STE 200
Mailing Street Address 2:
Mailing City: BONITA SPRINGS
Mailing State: FL
Mailing Foreign Province:
Mailing Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Mailing Country: USA

Business Start Date: 05/15/2006
Delinquent Federal Debt: No

CORPORATE INFORMATION

Type of Organization

Corporate Entity, Not Federal Tax Exempt
(State of Incorporation is DE)

Business Types/Grants

95 - Research and Development
V2 - Grants
2X - For-Profit Organization

DISASTER RESPONSE INFORMATION

Bonding Levels

Construction Bonding Level, Per Contract (dollars):

Construction Bonding Level, Aggregate (dollars):

Service Bonding Level, Per Contract (dollars):

Service Bonding Level, Aggregate (dollars):

Geographic Areas Served

No geographic areas specified

GOODS / SERVICES

North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)

541711 - Research and Development in Biotechnology

Product Service Codes (PSC)

Federal Supply Classification (FSC)

SMALL BUSINESS TYPES

SDB, 8A and HubZone certifications come from the Small Business Administration and are not editable by CCR vendors.

Business Types Expiration Date

--- ---

North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)

The small business size status is derived from the receipts, number of employees, assets, barrels of oil, and/or megawatt hours entered by the vendor during the registration process.

NAICS Code	Description	Small Business	Emerging Small Business
541711	Research and Development in Biotechnology	Yes	No

CCR POINTS OF CONTACT

Government Business Primary POC

Name: PATRICK AHLM
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone: 239-444-6313
Fax: 239-948-4996

Government Business Alternate POC

Name: PATRICK AHLM
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone: 239-444-6313
Fax: 239-948-4996

Past Performance Primary POC

Name: PATRICK AHLM
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2:
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone:
Fax: 239-948-4996

Past Performance Alternate POC

Name: KATHLEEN MCFADDEN
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2:
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone:
Fax: 239-948-4996

Electronic Business Primary POC

Name: PATRICK AHLM
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone: 239-444-6313
Fax: 239-444-6313

Electronic Business Alternate POC

Name: TONYA DUBOIS
Address Line 1: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
Address Line 2: 28100 BONITA GRANDE DRIVE, SUITE 200
City: BONITA SPRINGS
State: FL
Foreign Province:
Zip/Postal Code: 34135-6220
Country: USA
U.S. Phone: 239-498-2000
Non-U.S. Phone:
Fax:

PMC123.1 - Budget Justification for SF 424A Budget

Instructions and Summary

Award Number: DE-EE0002867
Award Recipient: Algenol Biofuels Inc.

Date of Submission: Mar-11
Form submitted by: Algenol Biofuels Inc.
(May be award recipient or sub-recipient)

Please read the instructions on each page before starting.
If you have any questions, please ask your DOE contact. It will save you time!

On this form, provide detailed support for the estimated project costs identified on the SF-424A form (Budget).

- **The dollar amounts on this page must match the amounts on the associated SF-424A.**
- The award recipient and each sub-recipient with estimated costs of $100,000 or more must complete this form and a SF-424A form.
- The total budget presented on this form and on the SF424A must include both Federal (DOE), and Non-Federal (cost share) portions, thereby reflecting TOTAL PROJECT COSTS proposed.
- **For costs in each Object Class Category on the SF-424A, complete the corresponding worksheet on this form (tab at the bottom of the page).**
- **All costs incurred by the preparer's sub-recipients, vendors, contractors, consultants and Federal Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), should be entered only in section f. Contractual. All other sections are for the costs of the preparer only.**

SUMMARY OF BUDGET CATEGORY COSTS PROPOSED

(Note: The values in this summary table are from entries made in each budget category sheet.)

CATEGORY	Budget Period 1 Costs	Budget Period 2 Costs	Budget Period 3 Costs	Total Costs	Project Costs %	Comments (Add comments as needed)
a. Personnel	Exemption 4					
b. Fringe Benefits						
c. Travel						
d. Equipment						
e. Supplies						
f. Contractual						
Sub-recipient						
FFRDC						
Vendor						
Total Contractual						
g. Construction						
h. Other Direct Costs						
i. Indirect Charges						
Total Project Costs						

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

Task #	Title	Position Title	Budget Period 1			Budget Period 2			Budget Period 3			Project Total Hours
			Time (Hours)	Pay Rate ($/Hr)	Total Budget Period 1	Time (Hours)	Pay Rate ($/Hr)	Total Budget Period 2	Time (Hours)	Pay Rate ($/Hr)	Total Budget Period 3	

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

Exemption 4

b. Fringe Benefits

	Budget Period 1	Budget Period 2	Budget Period 3	Total
Rate applied:	Exemption 4			
Total fringe requested:				

A federally approved fringe benefit rate agreement, or a proposed rate supported and agreed upon by DOE for estimating purposes is required if reimbursement for fringe benefits is requested. Please check (X) one of the options below and provide the requested information, if it has not already been provided to the Contracting Officer, OR if it has changed since it was. Calculate the fringe rate and enter the total amount in Section B, line 6.b. ("Fringe Benefits") of form SF-424A.

____ **A fringe benefit rate has been negotiated with, or approved by, a federal government agency. A copy of the latest rate agreement is included with this application, and will be provided electronically to the Contracting Officer for this project.**
(When this option is selected, a presentation of the budget that demonstrates the appliction of the approved rate, to arrive at the proposed fringes benefits dollars should also be provided.)

XXX **There is not a current, federally approved rate agreement negotiated and available.**
(When this option is checked, the entity preparing this form shall submit a rate proposal in the format provided at the following website, or a format that provides the same level of information and which will support the rates being proposed for use in performance of the proposed project. Go to https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/forms.aspx and select PMC 400.2 Sample Rate Proposal.)

Additional explanation/comments (as necessary)

The Fringe Benefits rate is based on current actual and adjusted in the future periods for projected hiring salary levels.Currently a larger portion of our employess are senior level and we expend they will represent a smaller portion of our staff. Since the majority of our fringe benefits is for healthcare insurance, the effect of this demographic change is that fringe benefits will be a higher percent of compensation. Please see the Indirect tab at the end of this file for the Fringe calculation. Fringe Benefits includes medical, dental, childcare reimbursement and payroll taxes.

c. Travel

PLEASE READ!!!

Provide travel detail as requested below, identifying total Foreign and Domestic Travel as separate items. Purpose of travel are items such as professional conference, DOE sponsored meeting, project management meeting, etc. The Basis for Estimating Costs are items such as past trips, current quotations, Federal Travel Regulations, etc.

All listed travel must be necessary for performance of the Statement of Projecct Objectives.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

Purpose of travel	No. of Travelers	Depart From (not required for domestic travel)	Destination (not required for domestic travel)	No. of Days	Cost per Traveler	Cost per Trip	Basis for Estimating Costs
Budget Period 1							
Domestic Travel							
Exemption 4		Exemption 4					
Domestic Travel subtotal							
International Travel							
International Travel subtotal							
Budget Period 1 Total							
Budget Period 2							
Domestic Travel							
Domestic Travel subtotal	0					$0	
International Travel							
International Travel subtotal						$0	
Budget Period 2 Total						**$0**	
Budget Period 3							
Domestic Travel							
Domestic Travel subtotal	0					$0	
International Travel							
International Travel subtotal		Exemption 4					
Budget Period 3 Total							
PROJECT TOTAL							

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

d. Equipment

PLEASE READ!!!

Equipment is generally defined as an item with an acquisition cost greater than $5,000 and a useful life expectancy of more than one year. Further definitions can be found at 10 CFR 600 found on the PMC Recipient Resources Forms page at https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/Forms.aspx#regs .

List all proposed equipment below, providing a basis of cost such as vendor quotes, catalog prices, prior invoices, etc., and briefly justifying its need as it applies to the Statement of Project Objectives. If it is existing equipment, and the value of its contribution to the project budget is being shown as cost share, provide logical support for the estimated value shown. If it is new equipment which will retain a useful life upon completion of the project, provide logical support for the estimated value shown.

For equipment over $50,000 in price, also include a copy of the associated vendor quote or catalog price list.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

Equipment Item	Qty	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Basis of Cost	Justification of need
Budget Period 1					
Exemption 4			Exemption 4		
Budget Period 1 Total					
Budget Period 2					
Exemption 4			Exemption 4		
Budget Period 2 Total					
Budget Period 3					
Budget Period 3 Total			Exemption 4		
PROJECT TOTAL					

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

e. Supplies

PLEASE READ!!!

Supplies are generally defined as an item with an acquisition cost of $5,000 or less and a useful life expectancy of less than one year. Supplies are generally consumed during the project performance. Further definitions can be found at 10 CFR 600 found on the PMC Recipient Resources Forms page at https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/Forms.aspx#regs.

List all proposed supplies below, providing a bases of cost such as vendor quotes, catalog prices, prior invoices, etc., and briefly justifying the need for the Supplies as they apply to the Statement of Project Objectives. Note that Supply items must be direct costs to the project at this budget category, and not duplicative of supply costs included in the indirect pool that is the basis of the indirect rate applied for this project.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

General Category of Supplies	Qty	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Basis of Cost	Justification of need
Budget Period 1					
Budget Period 1 Total			**$0**		
Budget Period 2					
Budget Period 2 Total			$0		
Budget Period 3					
Analytical supplies	Exemption 4				
Chemicals, solvents, polymers					
Disposable lab supplies (e.g. gloves)					
Safety supplies					
Minor engineering equipment					
Budget Period 3 Total					
PROJECT TOTAL					

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

For Phase I and II, lab supplies are part of the overhead allocation. In Phase III, the costs are only for those at the pilot scale biorefinery. Estimates are based on our experience.

f. Contractual

Sub-Recipient Name/Organization	Purpose/Tasks in SOPO	Budget Period 1 Costs	Budget Period 2 Costs	Budget Period 3 Costs	Project Total
Georgia Tech	Exemption 4				
MTR - Membrane Technology and Research, Inc.					
The Dow Chemical Company					

Vendor Name/Organization	Product or Service, Purpose/Need and Basis of Cost (Provide additional support at bottom of page as needed)	Budget Period 1 Costs	Budget Period 2 Costs	Budget Period 3 Costs	Project Total
Exemption 4					

Sub-Recipient Name/Organization	Purpose/Tasks in SOPO	Budget Period 1 Costs	Budget Period 2 Costs	Budget Period 3 Costs	Project Total
Exemption 4					

FFRDC Name/Organization	Purpose	Budget Period 1 Costs	Budget Period 2 Costs	Budget Period 3 Costs	Project Total
NREL- National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Exemption 4				

Total Contractual	

g. Construction

PLEASE READ!!!

Construction, for the purpose of budgeting, is defined as all types of work done on a particular building, including erecting, altering, or remodeling. Construction conducted by the award recipient is entered on this page. Any construction work that is performed by a vendor or subrecipient to the award recipient should be entered under f. Contractual.

List all proposed construction below, providing a basis of cost such as engineering estimates, prior construction, etc., and briefly justify its need as it applies to the Statement of Project Objectives.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

Overall description of construction actiivities:

Example Only!!! - Build wind turbine platform

General Description	Cost	Basis of Cost	Justification of need
Budget Period 1			
Budget Period 1 Total	**$0**		
Budget Period 2			
Budget Period 2 Total	$0		
Budget Period 3			
Budget Period 3 Total	$0		
PROJECT TOTAL	**$0**		

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

Construction will be sub-contracted, one of our sub-recipient partners, The Dow Chemical Company, will provide ground clearing/ land prep work while the actual construction contractor is TBD. These amounts have been included on the Contractual tab. At the end of the this file there is a tab, Construct Recon, which summarizes the the capitalized costs.

h. Other Direct Costs

PLEASE READ!!!

Other direct costs are direct cost items required for the project which do not fit clearly into other categories, and are not included in the indirect pool for which the indirect rate is being applied to this project. Examples are meeting costs, postage, couriers or express mail, telephone/fax costs, printing costs, etc.

Basis of cost are items such as vendor quotes, prior purchases of similar or like items, published price list, etc.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

General description	Cost	Basis of Cost	Justification of need
Budget Period 1			
Exemption 4	Exemption 4		
Budget Period 1 Total			
Budget Period 2			
Exemption 4	Exemption 4		
Budget Period 2 Total			
Budget Period 3			
Exemption 4	Exemption 4		
Budget Period 3 Total			
PROJECT TOTAL			

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

i. Indirect Costs

	Budget Period 1	Budget Period 2	Budget Period 3	Total
Rate applied:	Exemption 4			
Total indirect costs requested:				

A federally approved indirect rate agreement, or rate proposed supported and agreed upon by DOE for estimating purposes is required if reimbursement of fringe benfits is requested. Please check (X) one of the options below and provide the requested information if it has not already been provided as requested, or has changed. Calculate the indirect rate dollars and enter the total in the Section B., line 6.j. (Indirect Charges) of form SF 424A.

____ **There is a federally approved indirect rate agreement. A copy is provided with this application and will be provided electronically to the Contracting Officer for this project.**
(When this option is selected, a presentation of the budget that demonstrates the application of the approved rate, to arrive at the proposed indirect charges proposed should also be provided.)

X **There is no current, federally-approved indirect rate agreement.**
(When this option is checked, the entity preparing this form shall submit an indirect cost rate proposal in the format provided at the following website, or in a format that provides the same level of information and which supports the rate(s) being proposed for use in estimating the project. Go to https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/forms.aspx and select PMC 400.2 Sample Rate Proposal.)

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

Cost Share

PLEASE READ!!!

A detailed presentation of the cash or cash value of all cost share proposed for the project must be provided in the table below. Identify the source & amount of each item of cost share proposed by the award recipient and each sub-recipient or vendor. Letters of committment must be submitted for all third party cost share (other than award recipient).

Note that "cost-share" is not limited to cash investment. Other items that may be assigned value in a budget as incurred as part of the project budget and necessary to performance of the project, may be considered as cost share, such as: contribution of services or property; donated, purchased or existing equipment; buildings or land; donated, purchased or existing supplies; and/or unrecovered personnel, fringe benefits and indirect costs, etc. For each cost share contribution identified as other than cash, identify the item and describe how the value of the cost share contribution was calculated.

Funds from other Federal sources MAY NOT be counted as cost share. **This prohibition includes FFRDC sub-recipients. Non-Federal sources include private, state or local Government, or any source not originally derived from Federal funds. Documentation of cost sharing commitments must be provided, if not already provided with the original application and they have not changed since its submission.**

Fee or profit will not be paid to the award recipients or subrecipients of financial assistance awards. Additionally, foregone fee or profit by the applicant shall not be considered cost sharing under any resulting award. Reimbursement of actual costs will only include those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in 10 CFR 600.127, 10 CFR 600.222 or 10 CFR 600.317. Also see 10 CFR 600.318 relative to profit or fee.

Add rows as needed. If rows are added, formulas/calculations may need to be adjusted by the preparer.

Organization/Source	Type (cash or other)	Cost Share Item	Budget Period 1 Cost Share	Budget Period 2 Cost Share	Budget Period 3 Cost Share	Total Project Cost Share
Algenol Biofuels Inc	Exemption 4					
The Dow Chemical Company						
Membrabe Technology and Research, Inc.						
		Totals	Exemption 4			

Total Project Cost: $Exemption 4

Cost Share Percent of Award: Exemption 4 %

Additional Explanations/Comments (as necessary)

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
1		**Algenol DOE Project**	1,380.00	12/4/09	3/19/15
2	A	**Phase I: Development, Planning, & Preparation of Integrated Biorefinery**	431.00	12/4/09	7/29/11
3	Exemption 4				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
16	Exemption 4				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
32	Exemption 4				
33					
34					
35					
6					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
45	Exemption 4				
46					
47					
48					
49					
50					
51					
52					
53					
54					
55					
56					
57					
58					
59					
60					
61					
62					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
63	Exemption 4				
64					
65					
66					
67					
68					
69					
70					
71					
72					
73					
74					
75					
76					
77					
8					
79					
80					
81					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
82	Exemption 4				
83					
84					
85					
86					
87					
88					
89					
90					
91					
92					
93					
94					
95					
96					
97					
98					
99					
100					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
101	Exemption 4				
102					
103					
104					
105					
106					
107					
108					
109					
110					
111					
112					
113					
114					
115					
116					
117					
118					
119					
120					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
121	Exemption 4				
122					
123					
124					
125					
126					
127					
128					
129					
130					
131					
132					
133					
134					
135					
136					
137					
138					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
139	Exemption 4				
140					
141					
142					
143					
14					
145					
146					
147					
148					
149					
150					
151					
152					
153					
154					
155					
156					
157					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
158	Exemption 4				
159					
160					
161					
162					
163					
164					
165					
166					
167					
168					
169					
170					
171					
172					
173					
174					
175					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
176	Exemption 4				
177					
178					
179					
180					
181					
182					
183					
184					
185					
186					
187					
188					
189					
190					
191					
192					
193					
194					
195					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
196	Exemption 4				
197					
198					
199					
200					
201					
202					
203					
204					
205					
206					
207					
208					
209					
210					
211					
212					
213					
214					
215					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
216	Exemption 4				
217					
218					
219					
220					
221					
222					
223					
224					
225					
226					
227					
228					
229					
230					
231					
232					
233					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
234	Exemption 4				
235					
236					
237					
238					
239					
240					
241					
242					
243					
244					
245					
246					
247					
248					
249					
250					
251					
252					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
253	Exemption 4				
254					
255					
256					
257					
258					
259					
260					
261					
262					
263					
264					
265					
266					
267					
268					
269					
270					
271					
272					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
273	Exemption 4				
274					
275	B	**Phase II: Build pilot Scale Integrated Biorefinery**	Exemption 4		
276	Exemption 4				
277					
278					
279					
280					
281					
282					
283					
284					
285					
286					
287					
288					
289					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
290	Exemption 4				
291					
292					
293					
294					
295					
296					
297					
298					
299					
300					
301					
302					
303					
304					
305					
306					
307					
308					



	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
309	Exemption 4				
310					
311					
312					
313					
314					
315					
316					
317					
318					
319					
320					
321					
322					
323					
324					
325					
326					
327					
328					
		Algenol			100 50 0

	DOE WBS	Activity Name	Duration (Days)	Start Date	Finish Date
329	Exemption 4				
330					
331					
332					
333					
334					
335					
336					
337					
338					
339					
340					
341					
342					
343					
344					
345					
346					
347					
348					
		Algenol			100 50 0